

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/717,841	11/21/2000	Arvin D. Danielson	36767YBB	6790		
7	7590 01/14/2005			EXAMINER		
Michael F. Williams			KIM, AHSHIK			
Simmons Perrine Albright & Ellwood PLC						
115 Third Street SE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Suite 1200			2876			
Cedar Rapids, IA 52401			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Office Action Summary Application No. O9717,841 DANIELSON ET AL. Examiner Anshik Kim 276			A					
Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_				
Anshik Kim 2876 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (D) days, a reply whith the statisticy minimum of thinty (D) days, a reply whith the statisticy minimum of thinty (D) days, a reply whith the statisticy minimum of thinty (D) days, a reply whith the statisticy minimum of thinty (D) days, a reply whith the statisticy minimum of thinty (D) days, a reply whith the statisticy minimum of thinty (D) days, a reply whith the statisticy minimum of thinty (D) days, a reply whith the statisticy minimum of thinty (D) days, a reply whith the statisticy minimum of thinty (D) days, a reply whith the statisticy minimum of thinty (D) days, a reply whith the statisticy minimum of thinty (D) days, a reply whith the statisticy minimum of thinty (D) days, a reply whith the statisticy minimum of thinty (D) days, a reply whith the statisticy minimum of thinty (D) days, a reply whith the statisticy minimum of thinty (D) days, a reply whith the statisticy minimum of thinty (D) days, a reply whith the statisticy minimum of thinty (D) days, and statistic minimum of thinty (D) days, and statistic manufacture of the stat		09/717,841	DANIELSON ET AL.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions from may be enabled under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6). In ne event, however, may a raply be timely fixed after 50 X (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, reply within the statutory entire will apply and ell equies X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutory provided line to expend the providence of the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution, group and ell equies X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if firmly filed, may reduce any example patent term alphanent. See 37 CFR 1.74(6). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/28/04 (Remarks). 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 16-29 and 37-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) □ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 16-29 and 37-44 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. 11) □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. 12) □ All b) □ Some *c) □ None of: 13 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National S	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the proteins on of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filled - If the particle crossly specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SN (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication. - If the particle crossly specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SN (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication. - If NO period for reby is pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SN (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication. - If NO period for reby the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SN (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication. - If NO period for reby specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire specified to the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire specified to the specified above. - If NO period for reby specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire specified the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire specified and the specified above. - If NO period for reby specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire specified and specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire specified and specified and specified above. - If NO period for reby specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire specified and specified and specified above. - If NO period for reby specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and and apply and will apply and and apply		Ahshik Kim	2876					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of tem may be evaluate in unart period or the period of the period of the period of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory printing of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory printing of the period for reply within the set of extended period for reply within the set of the period for reply set of the period for reply within the set of the period for reply set of the period for reply within the set of the period for reply setulated for the set of the period for for period for for peri		pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions or ther may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.78(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thing (50) days, a may within the statulory minimum of thing (50) days with an extended price of the reply within the statulory minimum of thing (50) days with a considerable to the provision of the statulory minimum of thing (50) days with a considerable thing of the provision of the statulory minimum of thing (50) days with a considerable thing of the provision of the statulory minimum of thing (50) days with a considerable thing of the statulory minimum of thing (50) days with a considerable thing of the statulory minimum of thing (50) days with a considerable thing of the statulory minimum of thing (50) days with a considerable thing (50) days days days days days days days days	• •							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/28/04 (Remarks). 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 16-29 and 37-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) 1)□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)□ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1440 or PTO/S5006)	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	36(a). In no event, however, may a repl y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH t, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 16-29 and 37-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 16-29 and 37-44 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 16-29 and 37-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 16-29 and 37-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper Nots/Mail Date	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/2	8/04 (Remarks).						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
Application of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 16-29 and 37-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 16-29 and 37-44 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 20 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 23 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 35 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 20 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-29 and 37-44</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
6) Claim(s) 16-29 and 37-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 33 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-29 and 37-44</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonic None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objected to by	the Examiner.					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Dimpormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Solution in the Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached C	office Action or form PTO-152.					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	s have been received. s have been received in App rity documents have been re	lication No					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		of the certified copies not red	æived.					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		4) Intension Sum	nmary (PTO-413)					
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/M 5) Notice of Infor	fail Date					

Application/Control Number: 09/717,841 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

20

25

30

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the response filed on October 28, 2004. In the amendment claims 16 was amended. Currently, claims 16-29 and 37-44 remain for examination.

Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

- 2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
- A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 3. Claims 16-29 and 37-44 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-72 of US Patent 6,149,062 to Danielson et al. (hereinafter '062 patent).
- Although the conflicting claims are not identical, it is the Examiner's view that they are not patentably distinct from each other. In claim 16 of the instant application, the Applicant claims "A data processing system, comprising: (a) a data processing assembly including an interface unit; and (b) a detachable reader unit detachably assembled with said interface unit; (c) said detachable reader unit comprising a non-contact data reader to read data disposed in non-contact relationship to said reader unit; wherein said data processing assembly is portable and

Application/Control Number: 09/717,841

Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

wherein said detachable reader unit dose not extend said data processing assembly's width or length when attached thereto." Claim 1 of '062 patent recites "A hand-held data collection system, comprising: (a) a base unit, of size to be held in one hand during data collection, said base unit comprising a user interface; and b) a detachable reader unit, capable of being coupled with said base unit, said reader unit comprising a non-contact data reader reading data disposed in non-contacting relationship to said reader unit, said reader unit further comprising an energy source." In claim 72 of '062 patent, it is recited "A hand-held data collection system, a detachable reader unit, capable of being coupled with said base unit, said base unit being contained within said detachable reader unit's confines when coupled therewith, said reader unit comprising a non-contact data reader reading data disposed in non-contacting relationship to said reader unit, said reader unit further comprising an energy source." It appears that when they are coupled, they do not extend each other's dimension.

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Application/Control Number: 09/717,841

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

20

25

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 16-29 and 37-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gombrich (US 4,916,441, hereinafter "Gombrich").

Re claims 16, 20, 21, 23, 27, 28, and 37-40, Gombrich discloses a portable hand-held data processing assembly (see figure 6; col. 1, lines 47+) comprising a barcode reader 22 and a base unit 31 (col. 4, lines 38+; col. 5, lines 51+). When the reader is inserted into a base unit, they appear to be one unit – one does not extend the other's dimension (see figure 6).

Re claims 17-19, 24-26, 43, and 44, the reader 22 can read a barcode, and further equipped with keyboard so that users can manually enter data (col. 2, lines 3+)

Re claims 22, 29, and 41, as shown in figure 5, the terminal can communicate with external devices (or host) via wireless communication (col. 5, lines 34+).

Re claim 42, as shown in figure 16, the battery 80 provides operating power to the data collection module (col. 8, lines 62+).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's remarks filed on October 28, 2004 have been carefully reviewed and considered.

In remarks, Applicant stated "Further, the prior patent (6,149,062) can not be used as prior art." (See Remarks, page 4, second paragraph) However, Applicant failed to provide a basis for the assertion. It is the Examiner's opinion that Obviousness-Type Double Patenting policy is specifically designed to close the loopholes for unjust and improper extension of the patent term.

Art Unit: 2876

10

15

20

Moreover, if the subject matter amended by wherein clause "wherein said data processing assembly is portable and wherein said detachable reader unit does not extend....." had been crucially important for the Applicant, there's no explanation why such feature was not initially claimed. In fact, claim 1 of 5,227,614 patent (claim 1, part (c)) even recites the size parameters — "said computer processing module means having a cross section with a perimeter of not more than ten inches and having a length dimension extending transverse to such cross section of not more than seven inches"

It is the Examiner's view that subject matter disclosed in the application should be claimed within a reasonable time period, particularly the subject matter to be claimed later could be considered a relatively common concept (or could be contemplated by one ordinary skill in the art). It is hard to fathom that Applicant who has a patentable idea waits almost 20 years to file an application to claim his/her invention, and still claims the benefit of earlier filing date by filing a series of convoluted continuation-in-part and continuation applications.

Additional Remarks

7. Examiner is aware that Applicant argues that the claimed subject matter are disclosed in US 5,227,614, filed on December 15, 1989. It is also noted that US 5,227,614 claims continuation-in-part (CIP) benefit of Ser. No. 143,921, which claims CIP benefit of 897,547, filed Aug 15, 1986.

In order for an application to be filed as CIP, MPEP states "adding matter not disclosed in the said nonprovisional application. (In re Klein, 1930 C.D. 2, 393 O.G. 519 (Comm'r Pat. 1930))." (See MPEP 201.08.). Examiner is unable to track Ser No. 143,921 and Ser No.

Application/Control Number: 09/717,841 Page 6

Art Unit: 2876

897,547. Examiner respectfully requests the Applicant to submit the paperworks for these applications (if the records exist). Otherwise, Applicant should submit a statement that the claimed subject matter was not disclosed in either Ser. No. 143,921, and Ser. No. 897,547. Until the subject matter for these applications are reviewed or Applicant's submission of the statement, Examiner can only acknowledge the filing date of US 5,227,614, which is December 15,1989.

Conclusion

I. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: XXXXX disclose two dimensional data reading and related methods. Applicant is respectfully suggested to carefully review these references.

II. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Ahshik Kim* whose telephone number is (571)272-2393. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:00AM to 3:00PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (571)272-2398. The fax number directly to the Examiner is (571)273-2393. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [ahshik.kim@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

30

10

15

20

25

Ahshik Kim Patent Examiner Art Unit 2876 January 10, 2005